

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,115	05/05/2001	Emil V. Kozarov	UF-10380	9072
29847	7590 11/27/2002			
VAN DYKE & ASSOCIATES, P.A. 7200 LAKE ELLENOR DRIVE, SUITE 252 ORLANDO, FL 32809			EXAMINER	
			NICKOL, GARY B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	12
			DATE MAILED: 11/27/2002	17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/849,115	KOZAROV ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
-	Gary B. Nickol Ph.D.	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABAND	pe timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 195	September 2002 .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	!!					
4) Claim(s) 1-5 and 11-16 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ud/or election requirement					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,11-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Appli	cation No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Information	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/849,115

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-5, and 11-16 are pending.

Upon review and reconsideration, the previous restriction requirement is vacated.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-5, 11-16, drawn solely to methods of treating or preventing an angioproliferative condition comprising administering a protease, classified in class 424, subclass 184.1.
- II. Claims 1-5, 11-16, drawn solely to methods of treating or preventing an angioproliferative condition comprising administering DNA, classified in class 514, subclass 44.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The inventions of Groups I-II are materially distinct methods which differ at least in objectives, method steps, reagents and/or dosages and/or schedules used, response variables, and criteria for success.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Furthermore, because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/849,115

Art Unit: 1642

above and the search required for one group is not required for another group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Species Requirement:

Claims 2 and 13 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising distinct diseases including, but not limited to, melanomas, ocular retinopathy, endometriosis, and psoriasis which differ at least in etiology, pathology, and mechanisms. Furthermore, the above tissues encompass separate and distinct cell types with different morphologies and functions such that one species could not be interchanged with the other. As such, each species would require different searches and the consideration of different patentability issues.

Claims 5 and 16 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the following proteases:

1) PrtP



- 3) other cysteine proteases
- 4) a fragment or active site thereof
- 5) HagArep peptide

The products of the above species represent separate and distinct molecules with different structures and functions such that one species could not be interchanged with the other. As such,

Application/Control Number: 09/849,115

Art Unit: 1642

each species would require different searches and the consideration of different patentability issues.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/849,115

Art Unit: 1642

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary B. Nickol Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-305-7143. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa can be reached on 703-308-3995. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-308-4242 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Gary B. Nickol, Ph.D. Examiner
Art Unit 1642

GBN

November 26, 2002

Constructed